

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/345,669	06/30/1999	RONALD K. MINEMIER	INTL-0227-US	1490	
7590 01/26/2004			EXAMINER		
TIMOTHY N TROP TROP PRUNER HU & MILES 8554 KATY FREEWAY STE 100 HOUSTON, TX 77024			WISDAHL, ERIC D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2615	. /	
,			DATE MAILED: 01/26/200-	DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a imal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  The period for reply expires on. (1) the mailing date of the Aristroy Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire sor. (1) the mailing date of this Aristroy Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. Which THE IRRST REPLYVANS TILE DWTHINI TYM OMONTHS OF THE FINAL RELECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 57 CFR 1.136(a) in the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 57 CFR 1.136(a) in the expiration state of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final office action, or (2) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). Or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any amend patent time adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a) in three morths after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any amend patent timely filed on		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner   Eric D Wisdahi   2615    -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - THE REPLY FILED   FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inartic rejection under 37 CPR 1.13 may guly be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which followed the population in condition for allowance: (2) at timely be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CPR 1.13 may guly be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CPR 1.13 (c) the filed between, however, with the statutory period for reply expires on. (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. Whichever is later. In no sevent, however, with the statutory period for reply expires on. (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. Only CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70.6.07().  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70.6.07() are determined by period of extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(). The date on which the gettion under 37 CFR 1.136() and the appropriate admention fee been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the control of the filed. The period control of the filed within the period set for the initial rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sarried patent term disjustement. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).  I A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a) they raise he issue of new matter (see Note below);  (b) they are not deemed to place the a	Advisory Action	09/345,669	MINEMIER, RONALD K.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1,13 may gibble effort (1) a nearly filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance. (2) at timely filed Notice of 7,47 (c) a nearly filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance. (2) at timely filed Notice of 7,47 (c) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCC) in compliance with 37 CFR 1,114.  ■ PERIOD FOR REPLY (place either a) or b)  ■ The period for reply occires	, . <b></b> ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may applicable to 1,0 a timely field amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal feet); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  The period for reply expires on: (1) the malling date of the final rejection. Only CHECK-THIS BOX WHEN THE ITRIST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TYMO MOINTHS Or THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 708.07(7).  PORTOR CHECK-THIS BOX WHEN THE ITRIST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TYMO MOINTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 708.07(7).  Eather the state for purposes of determining the period of stemsion and the corresponding amount of the temperopriste extension fee under 15 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final office action, or (2) as set forth in by above, if checked by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, were if timely filed, may reduce any samed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (c) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (d) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (e) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (d)		Eric D Wisdahl	2615				
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a innal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may apply be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]  The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. Whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire and the total for purposes or (1) the mailing date of the Arbora Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for REPLY NET REPLY VIAS FILED WITHIN TYM OMONTHS OF THE FINAL REELECTION. See MPEP 706.07(0).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 57 CFR 1.136(b) in the expiration state TREPLY VIAS FILED WITHIN TYM OMONTHS OF THE FINAL RELECTION. See MPEP 706.07(0).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 57 CFR 1.136(a) in the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 57 CFR 1.136(a) in the purpose of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any amond pattern from 4 purposes of the purposes and purpose of the short from the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any amond pattern from 4 purposes and purpose of the short from 57 CFR 1.136(a), in a vicinity of the final rejection (a).  The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a)	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
a)  The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no evert, however, will the statutory period for reply expire tare than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 (1) AT 05.00 (1) The may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee the date for the date of the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee the date for the date of the date of the final rejection. Any reply residuely set in the final follows action or (2) as set forth in 3 (2) and the date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed placint term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  2. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised	Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
b) \( \bigcirc The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 708.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and occurrence of the third of the propriate extension fee under 17 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  1	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any named patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b).  1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:  3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The a) affidavit, b) xibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: **see altached**.  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under						
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2.	(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
(a)	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:  3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached.  6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:	(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
issues for appeal; and/or  (d)	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
NOTE:  3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached.  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
<ul> <li>3.  ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>4.  ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>5.  ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached.</li> <li>6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.</li> <li>The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.</li> <li>9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ul>	·						
<ul> <li>4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>5. The a) _ affidavit, b) _ exhibit, or c) ∑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached.</li> <li>6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> <li>7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) _ will not be entered or b) _ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) _ approved or b) _ disapproved by the Examiner.</li> <li>9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ul>		tion(s):					
application in condition for allowance because: <a href="mailto:see attached">see attached</a> .  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment						
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c)⊠ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an						
Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Claim(s) rejected:						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
	. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
0. ☐ Other:	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
	10. Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/345,669

Art Unit: 2615

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Page 2

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 31 December 2003 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive.

Applicant argues:

1. Kameyama fails to teach or suggest the determination of the number of defective

elements by analyzing the data during the frame read out. Although Kameyama

could do what the applicant's claimed invention is doing, it does not bother to do

so.

2. Vincent is not seen to inherently disclose the determination of the number of

defective elements by analyzing the data during the frame read out.

3. Argument based on Heller is the same as the argument above for Kameyama and

would thus have the same reasoning above for it's failure to meet the claimed

limitations.

4. With regards to the rejection of Claim 15, applicant believes one of the examiners

positions to be wrong and has stated "It is not up to the applicant to determine

what the rejection should be. Since the rejections are inconsistent on their face,

and admittedly so, at least one of them should be reconsidered."

Examiners Answers:

1. Kameyama teaches the determination of the number of defective elements by

analyzing the data during the frame readout. Such an arrangement is seen in that

Application/Control Number: 09/345,669 Page 3

Art Unit: 2615

the determination of each of the number of defective pixels would be available after the complete frame was readout and analyzed. In other words, each of the number of pixels which are defective have been determined to be a defective pixel through the analysis of the frame readout and were subsequently stored in the appropriate place in memory.

- 2. Vincent is seen to inherently disclose the determination of the location of defective pixels through the <u>full frame readout</u>. Such a limitation is implied in Column 2 lines 54 56 which states "defect correction denotes the capability of an imaging system for generating a signal that *identifies the location of defective pixels* in an image". Such a limitation of determining the location of defective pixels would inherently be done during a full frame readout as opposed to the memory readout that the applicant implied in Paper Number 3. Vincent was not seen to state or imply the inherent property of counting the number of defective pixels (as the applicant has implied), but was seen to state this feature in Column 8 line 24 Column 12 line 24.
- 3. See argument above. Furthermore, See Heller Column 2 lines 18 36, which states "Currently, a certain number of defective pixels per sensor is tolerated so that a given amount of sensors are deemed usable and manufacturing costs are recovered. In these sensors, pixels that are found to be defective do not exceed a predetermined number for the entire sensor nor do they exceed a predetermined number within a predetermined area. Although locations of these pixels are discovered during testing, it is an administrative burden, as described above, to

Application/Control Number: 09/345,669

Art Unit: 2615

keep track of this information during manufacture. ..." (emphasis added). Such a

Page 4

statement is seen to fully anticipate the claimed limitations of reading out a frame

of sensing element data from the array and determining the number of defective

elements by analyzing the data during the frame read out.

4. As previously states, with regards to claim 15, Vincent was never used alone,

under a 102 rejection to reject claim 15. It is believed that applicant was under

the impression that this is the case. Vincent was used in combination with

Kameyama to reject claim 15 so as to more clearly cover the limitations as put

forth in the claim language. The office has not stated at any point that the

rejections are inconsistent on their face. It has, however, brought to applicants

attention that a single reference can and often will be interpreted in multiple ways

and that separate rejections can and often will be presented under each of the

possible interpretations.

ANDREW CHRISTENSEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600